

1078760

4.0 PATHWAYS OF HUMAN EXPOSURE IN OU4

4.1 Conceptual Site Model

The first step in developing a risk assessment at a site or an Operable Unit is usually the development of a conceptual site model (CSM). The purpose of a CSM is to organize and graphically display likely sources of contaminants, mechanisms of contaminant release to the environment, likely pathways by which contaminants may be migrating in the environment, and likely routes by which humans might come into contact with contaminants in the environment (USEPA 1989). The CSM then helps guide initial investigations on the nature and extent of contamination at the site, and may be updated or revised periodically during the course of the site investigation as more data become available.

As discussed previously (see Section 1.4), [Note to TAU...I will add the draft text of Section 1.4 that defines OU4 along with a map once I receive the info from CDM] this risk assessment focuses on exposure pathways that apply to residents and workers who reside, work, or attend school in or about the community of Libby (OU4). This includes an assessment of exposures in Libby as they were in the 1995-2000 time frame (after the mine ceased operating but before EPA began clean-up activities), and an assessment of exposures as they are in the current time frame (after EPA has completed cleanups at a number of locations).

As noted previously, the contaminant of concern in OU4 is a form of asbestos referred to as Libby amphibole (LA). Figure 4-1 presents a conceptual model for human exposure to LA at the site. This model has been developed based on our current understanding of sources and likely pathways of transport or migration and potential human exposure to LA in OU4. Because inhalation of LA fibers in air is the primary exposure pathway of concern, only inhalation pathways are included in this CSM. Exposure to LA by ingestion of contaminated soil, sediment, dust, or water might also be occurring at the Libby site, but these exposure pathways are currently believed to be a minor source of health risk compared to the inhalation pathways.

Not all inhalation exposure pathways to LA shown in Figure 4-1 are likely to be of equal concern. In the figure, a black dot is used to show exposure pathways that are considered the most likely to be important for each receptor, and where a quantitative assessment of risk will be performed. Boxes with an open circle indicate pathways that may occur intermittently, but are believed to be a minor source of exposure to the receptor and hence are likely to contribute only a small amount to the total exposure of the receptor, so these pathways are not evaluated quantitatively. Boxes with a question mark indicate pathways that may apply to a receptor, but where current data are not sufficient to judge whether the pathway is likely to be of concern. EPA will seek to collect additional data in the future to allow evaluation of these pathways. Boxes that are open (no symbol) indicate that the exposure pathway does not apply to the receptor.

DRAFT – FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

Other exposure pathways that may also be of concern at the Site will be evaluated during on-going investigations and evaluations for the other OUs at the site. For example, it is anticipated that the evaluation for OU3 (the mine) will include an assessment of exposures of workers and recreational visitors in forests and other public areas near the mine, and will also include assessments of exposures associated with releases along highway and railroad transportation corridors (e.g., Rainy Creek Road, Highway 37, etc.). Likewise, mine-related exposures that occur in and about the community of Troy will be evaluated as part of OU7.

1. Then why are all of the mine-related exposure scenarios in the SCM for OU 4? Response: OU4 includes an evaluation of risks due to contaminated media that occur in OU4, regardless of what their original source may have been.

4.1.1 Sources of LA Asbestos

Ultimately, all pathways of exposure to LA in and about the community of Libby may be traced back to the mine and the mining, milling, and production operations associated with the mine. It is believed that the most important pathways by which historic mining, milling, and processing operations caused releases of LA into the environment include the following.

- 1. Airborne Releases. When the mine, mill, and processing facilities were operating, activities at these locations released LA fibers into the air (USEPA 1985). These airborne fibers would have been carried by the wind in a down-wind direction. Most fibers likely would fall to earth and lead to contamination of surface soils, surface water, and other exposed surfaces (e.g., some fibers may have been trapped in tree bark or deposited on surfaces of buildings, streets, etc.). Although the mine and processing facilities are no longer operating, airborne release of fibers from exposed asbestos-contaminated soils, exposed ores, or other contaminated outdoor surfaces is likely still occurring, albeit at a lower rate than in the past.
- 2. Wouldn't rain/snow wash these away by now? This could be very scary for the general public.

Response: Perhaps rain and snow have this effect, but no data are presently available to test this assumption.

2. Solid Waste Releases. Solid wastes generated at the former mine site or at milling and processing sites (the screening plant and the two export plants) may also be a source of past and/or current releases to the environment. In some cases, solid waste materials were used as fill or soil amendments in residential yards, gardens, and driveways. Solid waste materials were also used around public facilities, such as schools and ball fields, resulting in direct exposures through recreational activities.

DRAFT - FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

3. Vermiculite Product. Product from the mine (unexpanded or expanded vermiculite) was used as insulation in a number of buildings in Libby. Because Libby vermiculite contains LA as a contaminant, the vermiculite insulation, if it exists in an unenclosed space, can serve as a continuing source of exposure to residents or workers who may come into contact with the vermiculite. In some cases, vermiculite product may have spilled during transport and contaminated the margins of transportation corridors such as roads and rail lines.

3. Doesn't the product also include soil amendments?

Response: Yes, and where it has, it is evaluated as a soil contaminant.

4. This could have also happened with the transport of the solid waste. Response: EPA agrees.

4.1.2 Migration Pathways in the Environment

Because asbestos is a solid, once it is released into the environment, it will generally tend to stay in place. However, there are three main ways by which LA may be transported within OU4:

5. and does not bio-degrade or change structure in any way

Response: Agreed

6. Within OU 4 is very different than how it got to OU 4 in the first place. I don't think that airborne directly from the mine is a viable current exposure.

Response: EPA agrees that airborne transport directly from the mine into town may not be the largest source of contamination, but does not believe this should be entirely dismissed until further information is available.

- 1. *Airborne Transport*. Because LA fibers are small, they may become suspended in air, and once airborne, they will tend to move with the air. The time that the fibers remain in air (and hence the distance they may move before returning to earth) depends on the size of the particle and air flow turbulence, and may range from only a few minutes to a number of hours (USEPA 1985).
- 2. Surface Water Transport. Although asbestos is not soluble in water, suspended particles may be carried in surface water runoff (e.g., from rain or snowmelt) from the mine or other areas where soil is contaminated by LA, and deposited in soils or sediments at downstream locations. Fibers may then be released to the air from contaminated soils or dried sediments by either wind or mechanical disturbances.

7. First question from the public - how far down stream? Is it in storm drains?

Response: EPA intends to collect data that will help answer these questions. Note that human exposure from contaminated sediments in storm drains will typically be negligible expect for workers who may clean the drains on occasion.

DRAFT – FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

3. *Bulk Transport*. Vermiculite and solid wastes that contain vermiculite or LA can be hauled from one place to another by humans for use in a variety of applications (e.g., fill or soil amendments in yards or gardens). In contrast to airborne and surface water transport pathways, whose impacts can often be reasonably well understood and predicted, the bulk transport pathway can result in the occurrence of asbestos contamination in nearly any location. In addition, contaminated soil and other similar material may be transported inadvertently by adherence to shoes or clothing, and can lead to contamination of dusts in homes, workplaces, schools, and vehicles.

4.1.3 Populations Evaluated in OU4

The OU4 risk assessment includes an evaluation of the following human populations that are likely to be exposed in and about the main residential and commercial areas of Libby:

- <u>Residents</u>. This includes children and adults who live in homes within the boundaries of OU4.
- <u>Commercial Workers</u>. This includes individuals who primarily work inside shops, stores, and other businesses located within the boundary of OU4. This population does not include people who work in Libby only occasionally or intermittently (as opposed to full time).
- <u>Tradesperson</u>. This includes people such as plumbers, electricians, home repair contractors, yard care workers, municipal workers, etc., who work at homes or businesses within the boundaries of OU4 on a regular basis and who may have regular contact with vermiculite insulation in indoor locations or contaminated soil in outdoor locations.
- 8. I suggest deleting the word "regular" and including some language regarding the intermittent exposure to otherwise "enclosed" or buried vermiculite as part of their work. Response: Agreed.
 - <u>Students/Teachers</u>. This includes children who regularly attend school in Libby, along with the teaching and administrative staff at those schools.
- 9. Why is this broken out from residential? (I understand this may be for a higher exposure due to the use of materials in the track which would include other students and not limited to Libby only, but that is not clear either on the figure or in this text.)

 Response: There is an emphasis on schools because data have been collected at schools in Libby and because exposures of students early in life pose a greater risk that comparable exposures later in life.
 - Recreational Visitors. This includes people who regularly engage in recreational or athletic activities at public lands (parks, open space) or facilities (ball fields, tracks, etc.) located within the boundaries of OU4. It is understood that most of these individuals may also be residents of Libby. Risks to out-of town visitors or tourists who visit the site and engage in recreational activities will be estimated

DRAFT – FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

from those computed for area residents, by adjusting for differences in exposure frequency and duration.

4.1.4 Exposure Scenarios Evaluated in OU4

Based on our current understanding of the past and present sources of release and transport of LA from the mine, the media and pathways that are believed to be the most likely to serve as important sources of human exposure in OU4 include the following:

1. Breathing Outdoor Ambient Air. Although general ambient air may be impacted by any activity that causes LA to be released from a source, it is currently believed that the main source of LA in general outdoor ambient outdoor air in the vicinity of Libby is release from contaminated soil in and around the community. This is because contaminated soils occur in multiple locations in and around Libby, and can serve as a continuous source of LA release into the air. Releases of LA from soil into outdoor ambient air may be due either to wind blowing over the soil, or from a variety of disturbances of the soil by human activity. Other sources that may impact outdoor ambient air include contaminated soil and waste at the mine site itself, and disturbances of LA from outdoor surfaces where it has accumulated, such as the burning of wood that has LA in the bark.

10. and this is not OU 4

Response: The mine is not part of OU4, but if fibers that were released from the mine have been transported into OU4, that risk is assessed as part of OU4.

11. is this being evaluated in OU 4 or just for the mine site?

Response: The evaluation of risks associated with cutting and burning wood from trees with contaminated bark will be assessed as part of the Mine OU.

2. Breathing Indoor Air. Indoor air exposures to LA may be divided into two main categories: 1) those that occur on a regular basis in the main living spaces of the home, workplace, or school, and 2) those that occur intermittently when someone enters into a non-living space such as an unfinished attic with unenclosed vermiculite.

The *main living space* of a home, business, or school may become contaminated by several alternative pathways, including: 1) leaking vermiculite insulation (if present) from walls or attics; 2) transport of contaminated outdoor soil into indoor dust *via* shoes, clothing, pets, etc.; and 3) transport of contaminated wood indoors for burning. Once in indoor dust, LA may become suspended in indoor air by disturbance of the indoor dust by mechanical forces (air flow from heating or cooling systems) and a variety of normal human indoor activities (walking, playing, cleaning, etc.).

DRAFT - FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

In an *unfinished attic space* that contains unenclosed vermiculite insulation, nearly any activity that disturbs the insulation is likely to cause a release of vermiculite and LA fibers into the air of the attic. This pathway is likely to occur intermittently for residents who visit an unfinished attic space to place or retrieve stored items, but may be fairly common for a tradesman who must enter such spaces to perform repairs on plumbing, wiring, etc.

12. Based on the definition given above, this should say "non-living space" to include walls, crawl spaces, and attics.

Response: This pathway is intended to include any unfinished space that contains unenclosed vermiculite and that can be accessed by a person without destruction of any structures. Thus, unfinished crawl spaces are included, but vermiculite in an intact wall is not.

LA contained behind enclosed walls etc. does not present an open pathway for human exposure, however, breaches in the containment by various activities can result in airborne exposures and contamination to indoor dust. It is currently believed that such exposures are of concern primarily for tradesmen (carpenters, electricians, etc.) who may routinely encounter LA in homes and offices during their work. It is also recognized that homeowners and others may encounter LA during home renovation projects.

A special case, although not truly an indoor exposure, is breathing air inside a vehicle (car, truck) that has been contaminated with LA over time through open windows, especially at high source locations (e.g., Rainy Creek Road), or by tracking in LA-contaminated soil or dust from other locations on clothing or shoes.

- 3. Breathing Outdoor Air Near a Soil Disturbance. When a person engages in an activity that disturbs LA-contaminated soil, dust, or mine waste material, LA will be released into the air, with the highest levels occurring in the immediate proximity of the disturbance. Thus, the person causing the disturbance will usually have the highest exposure. This type of scenario may occur at a variety of residential, commercial, school, or recreational locations, and may include a very wide range of behaviors that disturb the soil, dust, or mine waste material. A few selected examples include:
 - A child playing in dirt in his/her yard
 - An adult performing home yard or garden care activities
 - Children or adults engaging in sports or exercise at schools or other public recreational facilities
 - A tradesman installing or repairing buried utility lines
 - A tradesman working on a roof that contains LA deposited from air fallout
 - An area resident walking or riding along a transportation corridor (highway, rail line) that passes within the boundaries of OU4.

DRAFT - FOR USEPA REVIEW ONLY

13. This is not marked on the figure as even a possible exposure pathway. Is there even any samples to note this is a possibility? It seems improbable to me based on the precipitation in Libby.

Response: This is indicated in the CSM by a box with a question mark in it. EPA agrees that this pathway might be minor, but does not wish to exclude consideration until data can be collected to assess the potential magnitude.

14. and recreationalist

Response: Agreed. Both populations are indicated with question marks in the CSM.

Note that most individuals in Libby may be exposed by more than one of these pathways (Peipins et al. 2003). For example, a resident may be exposed while working in his/her yard, while indoors at his/her home, and while visiting public areas. For this reason, exposure and risk evaluations must consider the combined, or cumulative, effect of all exposure scenarios and pathways that apply to individuals in the community.

REFERENCES

Peipins LA, Lewin M, Campolucci S, Lybarger JA, Miller A, Middleton D, Weis C, Spence M, Black B, Kapil V. 2003. Radiographic Abnormalities and Exposure to Asbestos-Contaminated Vermiculite in the Community of Libby, Montana, USA. Environ Health Perspect 111:1753-1759.

USEPA. 1985. Exposure Assessment for Asbestos Containing Vermiculite. Report #560/5-85-013.

USEPA. 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA/540/1-89/002.